



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,970	09/989,970 11/20/2001		Andreas Prokoph	DE920000094US1/2265P	1657
29141	7590	05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
SAWYER : P O BOX 51		ROUP LLP	THAI, HANH B		
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2163	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	!	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence		09/989,970	PROKOPH, ANDREAS					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hanh B. Thai	2163					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on amen	ndment filed 3/24/06.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, <del></del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	n of Claims							
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>24-47</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application								
		-						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	•	` ,					
	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u></u> ☐	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.	. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage					
3.0	application from the International Bureau	` ''						
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment(s)	)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat  5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper N	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	itent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following in Final Office Action in response to the amendment filed March 24, 2006. Claims 24-47 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments regarding "decomposing the virtual document into a plurality of tokens" of claims 24-47 have been considered but are not found persuasive.

Nelson discloses the retrieval system for retrieval of multimedia information including decomposing the document into a plurality of tokens (see abstract of Nelson; Fig.2 and Fig.4; col.5, line 52-col.6, line 65; col.7, lines 46-67 and col.9, lines 60-65).

Sumita discloses system and method of generating a summary of document based on original document. The summary of document reads on the virtual document because it comprises a portion of the original document that characterizes an overall content of the original document (abstract; summary Figs.48-53 and Figs.56-60 and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita). Therefore, Nelson and Sumita combination discloses the claimed features.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyerzon et al. (US Patent no. 6,631,369) of record in view of Nelson et al. (US Patent no. 6,243,713) of record and further in view of Sumita et al. (US 5,907,841) cited in the previous Office Action.

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claims 24 and 32, Meyerzon discloses a method for retrieving information using a search engine, the method comprising:

retrieving a document to be indexed (see col.4, lines 54-62, Meyerzon); and storing the plurality of tokens in a search index, wherein the search engine accesses the search index to identify one or more virtual documents that satisfy a search query and retrieves one or more documents corresponding to the one or more virtual documents (see col. 7, lines 44-65 and col.8, lines 1-10, Meyerzon. The data type of information corresponding to the "token").

Meyerzon, however, does not explicitly disclose decomposing the document into a plurality of tokens. Nelson, on the other hand, discloses the retrieval system for retrieval of multimedia information including decomposing the document into a plurality of tokens (see abstract of Nelson; Fig.2 and Fig.4; col.5, line 52-col.6, line 65; col.7, lines 46-67 and col.9, lines 60-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Meyerzon to include the claimed feature as taught by Nelson. The motivation of doing so would have been to improve the efficiency of incremental crawls that are used to manage document stores (see col. 3, lines 65-67, Meyerzon).

Meyerzon and Nelson combination does not disclose generating a virtual document based on the retrieved document. Sumita discloses system and method of generating a summary of document based on original document. The summary of document reads on the virtual document because it comprises a portion of the original document that characterizes an overall content of the original document (abstract; summary Figs.48-53 and Figs.56-60 and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination system of Meyerzon and Nelson to include the claimed feature as

Art Unit: 2163

taught by Sumita. The motivation of doing so would have been to provide an efficient system that would reduce the burden on the user to read or extract the entire content of the original document by viewing only a portion of each document (col.2, lines 53-56, Sumita).

Regarding claim 40, Meyerzon discloses a system for retrieving information using a search engine, the system:

- A crawler for retrieving a document to be indexed (see col.4, lines 54-62, Meyerzon);
- a storage device (100, Fig.2 and corresponding text, Meyerzon) coupled to the extractor for storing the virtual document;
- a search engine indexer (300, Fig.2) coupled to the storage device; and
- a search index (400, Fig.2) coupled to the search engine indexer for storing the plurality of tokens, wherein the search engine accesses the search index to identify one or more virtual documents that satisfy a search query and retrieves one or more documents corresponding to the one or more virtual documents (see col. 7, lines 44-65 and col.8, lines 1-10; Fig.2 and corresponding text, Meyerzon).

Meyerzon, however, does not explicitly disclose the steps of decomposing the document extract into a plurality of tokens. Nelson, on the other hand, discloses the retrieval system for retrieval of multimedia document including the decomposing the document into a plurality of tokens (see abstract of Nelson; Fig.2 and Fig.4; col.5, line 52-col.6, line 65; col.7, lines 46-67 and col.9, lines 60-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Meyerzon to include the claimed feature as taught by Nelson. The motivation of doing so would have been to improve the efficiency of incremental crawls that are used to manage document stores (see col. 3, lines 65-67, Meyerzon).

Meyerzon and Nelson combination does not disclose generating a virtual document based on the retrieved document. Sumita discloses system and method of generating a summary of document based on original document. The summary of document reads on the virtual document because it comprises a portion of the original document that characterizes an overall content of the original document (abstract; summary Figs.48-53 and Figs.56-60 and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination system of Meyerzon and Nelson to include the claimed feature as taught by Sumita. The motivation of doing so would have been to provide an efficient system that would reduce the burden on the user to read or extract the entire content of the original document by viewing only a portion of each document (col.2, lines 53-56, Sumita).

Regarding claims 25, 33 and 41, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination further discloses recording positional information of the portion extracted within the document (see col. 6, lines 1-10, Nelson).

Regarding claims 26, 34 and 42, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination discloses the step of storing the recorded positional information with the plurality of tokens in the search index (see col.6, lines 1-34, Nelson).

Regarding claims 27, 35 and 43, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination discloses wherein the portion of the retrieved document that characterizes the overall content of the retrieved document is a summary of retrieved document (see Figs.48-53 and Figs.56-60 and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita).

Regarding claims 28, 36 and 44, Figs. 48-53 and Figs. 56-60 and col. 28, lines 51-65, Sumita combination discloses the step of extracting from the retrieved document a collection of a

Art Unit: 2163

words, features, whole sentence or parts of sentences that characterizes the overall content of the retrieved document. (see Figs. 48-53 and Figs. 56-60 and col. 28, lines 51-65, Sumita).

Regarding claims 29, 37 and 45, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination disclose wherein extraction of the collection of words, features, whole sentence or parts of sentences is based on frequency of occurrence, word-salient-measure, proximity to the beginning of a paragraph, proximity the beginning or end of the retrieved document, or position within a certain document structure in the retrieved document (abstract; summary and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita).

Regarding claims 30, 38 and 46, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination discloses wherein each of the plurality of tokens comprises a word, a feature, a whole sentence, or a part of a sentence in the virtual document (see Figs.48-53 and Figs.56-60 and col.28, lines 51-65, Sumita).

Regarding claims 31, 39 and 47, Meyerzon/Nelson/Sumita combination discloses wherein the retrieved document is a web-page (see abstract and Fig.2, Meyerzon).

### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2163

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 7

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Hanh B. Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hanh B Thai

Examiner

Art Unit 2163

May 24, 2006